

Appln No. 09/722,141  
Amdt. Dated August 30, 2005  
Response to Final Office Action of July 27, 2005

2

Therefore, similar to the Official Notice taken by the Examiner in the first Office Action that it would have been obvious to enter data into the video device of Ellis using a bar code scanner, if the teachings of Ellis and Mori were to be combined as contended by the Examiner, the combined system would have the image scanner as a separate unit to the video player of Ellis.

Thus, Ellis either taken alone or in combination with Mori, does not teach or suggest integrating a sensor for sensing video information printed on a surface into the video player itself. Therefore, the subject matter of pending independent claims 1 and 9, and claims 2-8 and 10-19 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by Ellis either in view of Mori.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicants:



---

PAUL LAPSTUN



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia  
Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)  
Telephone: +612 9818 6633  
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762